"Blessed are the poor in spirit",

or

"Blessed are who [became] poor under the influence of the Spirit"? (Mt 5,3) András Gromon

I checked every occurrence of $\pi v \varepsilon \tilde{\upsilon} \mu \alpha$ [spirit] in the **Gospel of Matthew**. The results: **19** occurrences, out of which:

- **5 times** it is accompanied by the adjective ἄγιον [sacred, holy]: "Holy Spirit" (1,18.20; 3,11; 12,32; 28,19);
- 4 times it occurs in a possessive structure with *God* as possessor: (twice πνεῦμα θεοῦ, ,,the Spirit of God": 3,16; 12,28; once πνεῦμα τοῦ πατρός, ,,the Spirit of the Father": 10,20; once πνεῦμά μου, ,,my Spirit": 12,18);

in these 5+4 = 9 *instances* the term clearly denotes *"the Spirit of God"* or *"the Holy Spirit"*;

- **4 times** it stands *without either an adjective or a noun in genitive* (4,1; <u>5,3</u>;12,31; 22,43), but again in *three of these instances* it clearly denotes ",the Spirit of God" / ",the Holy Spirit" (with the exception of the controversial passage in 5,3). Here we need to remark that:
 - the *parallel* of Mt 4,1 in Lk 4,1 *clearly* names ,,the Holy Spirit";
 - in two adjacent passages (12,31 and 12,32) we first find "the Spirit" alone, immediately followed by "the Holy Spirit" in an *identical* meaning.
 - the parallel of Mt 22,43 in Mk 12,36 clearly names "the Holy Spirit".
- 2 times it denotes *human spirit* (26,41; 27,50),
- 4 times it denotes *impure spirit(s)* (8,16; 10,1; 12,43;12,45).

The phrase $(\dot{\epsilon}v)(\tau\tilde{\varphi})\pi v\epsilon \dot{\varphi}\mu \alpha \tau \iota$ [= ",in spirit" or ",by the Spirit"] occurs 4 times,

- once with the adjective ἄγιον [sacred, holy] (3,11),
- once in a possessive structure with $\theta \epsilon \delta \zeta$ [God] as possessor (12,28),
- and twice without either an adjective or a noun in genitive (5,3) and 22,43).

My question is:

If πνεῦμα positively means "the Spirit of God" or "the Holy Spirit" **12** times out of (5+4+4=) **13** occurrences that come into play, then <u>what is the actual reason</u> to understand the only remaining passage, i.e. the contested <u>Mt 5,3</u> (πτωχοὶ τῷ πνεύματι = *"poor in spirit"* or *"poor by the Spirit"*) <u>not</u> as referring to "the Holy Spirit"? (With special regards to the parallels mentioned above: "Here we need to remark that…")

We can also confirm the above by checking all occurrences of $\pi \tau \omega \chi \delta \zeta$ [poor] in the four gospels. We find **24** instances: 5 in Mt, 5 in Mk, 10 in Lk, and 4 in Jn.

If we leave out Mt 5,3, the remaining **23** passages always use this term for poverty in a <u>material</u> sense. (This might be doubtful in the case of Mt 11,5, Lk 4,18, and 7,22 by referring to the underlying Hebrew *anavim*, which in the contemporary use also meant "the gracious" or "the pious", thus we could say: "poor in spirit". However, the context in all three passages is about the blind, the lame, the deaf..., or about prisoners and the oppressed! In this list, how could $\pi\tau\omega\chi\sigma$ (possibly mean "the pious" or "the poor in spirit"?)

Consequently, if the other 23 passages are about the poor <u>materially</u>, then why would $\pi\tau\omega\chi\omega$ denote "poor in spirit" in Mt 5,3 – especially considering that there is a reasonable justification for $\tau\tilde{\omega}$ $\pi\nu\omega\omega\mu\alpha\tau$ meaning "by the Spirit"?

* * *

"τῷ πνεύματι" in translations

Mt 5,3

	1120 0,00		
LUT	geistlich arm sind		
MOD	die ihre Armut vor Gott erkennen		
JER	les pauvres en esprit		
TOB	les pauvres de cœur		
NEW	those who know that they are poor		
DIS	the poor in spirit		
WTB	quelli che si rendono conto del loro bisogno spirituale		
VAT	i poveri in spirito		

Mt 22,43

- LUT im Geist
- MOD durch den Heiligen Geist geleitet
- JER sous l'inspiration
- TOB inspiré par l'Esprit
- NEW by inspiration
- DIS by the inspiration of the <u>Holy</u> Spirit
- WTB per inspirazione
- VAT sotto inspirazione

Lk 2,27

- LUT aus Anregen des Geistes
- MOD vom Geist Gottes geführt
- JER poussé par l'Esprit <u>Saint</u>
- TOB poussé par l'Esprit
- NEW guided by the Spirit
- DIS by the Spirit
- WTB potere dello spirito
- VAT mosso dallo Spirito

Lk 4,1b

- LUT vom Geist geführt
- MOD der Geist hatte ihn gedrängt
- JER conduit par l'Esprit
- TOB conduit par l'Esprit
- NEW led by the Spirit
- DIS led by the Spirit
- WTB e fu condotto dallo spirito
- VAT e fu condotto dallo Spirito
- LUT Luther (rev. 1956)
- MOD modern: Bibel heute (2010)
- JER La Sainte Bible de Jérusalem (1961)
- TOB Traduction Oecuménique de la Bible (1983)
- NEW New English Bible (1964)
- DIS discover revelation (2016)
- WTB Watchtower Bible ... Inc. (1987)
- VAT La Sacra Bibbia, Vatican (2003)

The above comparison reveals that *all translations* of *Mt* 5,3 interpret $\tau \tilde{\varphi} \pi v \epsilon \tilde{\psi} \mu \alpha \tau i$ as "in spirit", while *in the other three examples each of them* translates $\pi v \epsilon \tilde{\upsilon} \mu \alpha$ as "the Spirit" (i.e. of God). Moreover, three translations add the adjective "Holy" (even the Jerusalem Bible!), and another adds "God" as possessor, even though these are not present in the Greek text.

It is worth highlighting the two most important translations of the eight mentioned above:

	τῷ πνεύματι	τῷ πνεύματι
	TOB	NEW
Mt 5,3	les pauvres de cœur	those who know that they are poor
Mt 22,43	inspiré par l'Esprit	by inspiration
Lk 2,27	poussé par l'Esprit	guided by the Spirit
Lk 4,1b	conduit par l'Esprit	led by the Spirit

To say the least: it is interesting that

- the Greek term (τῷ πνεύματι) is understood as "in spirit" only in Mt 5,3; and that
- the *same* Greek term in these four lines is translated *in four different ways*.

* * *

The data on the first page of this paper is exceptionally revealing, if we also consider that $\pi v \epsilon \tilde{\upsilon} \mu \alpha$ occurs **36 times in** *Luke*, and:

- in 13 instances it stands with the adjective ἅγιον to denote ,,the Holy Spirit" (1,15.35.41.67; 2,25.26; 3,16.22; 4,1; 10,21; 11,13; 12,10.12),
- **once** it stands in a possessive structure with the Lord as possessor to denote ,,the Spirit of God" (4,18),
- **in 3 instances** it stands *without either an adjective or a noun in genitive*, but it clearly denotes *"the Spirit of God"* or *"the Holy Spirit"* in all three cases (2,27; 4,1; 4,14),
- in 5 instances it means *human spirit* (1,17; 1,47; 1,80; 8,55; 23,46),
- in 12 instances it denotes *impure spirit(s)* (4,33.36; 6,18; 7,21; 8,2.29; 9,39.42; 10,20; 11,24.26; 13,11),
- twice it means *a spirit without a body* (24,37 and 24,39).

In Luke, the phrase $(\tilde{\epsilon}v)$ $(\tau \tilde{\varphi}) \pi v \epsilon \dot{\psi} \mu \alpha \tau \iota$ occurs again 4 times,

- twice with the adjective ἄγιον (3,16; 10,21),
- twice without either an adjective or a noun in genitive (2,27; 4,1b),

and in every instance it denotes "the Spirit of God"!

* * *

I am increasingly convinced that there is – perhaps unconsciously – a *psychological* or even *ideological* reason behind the adherence of translators and exegetes to understand $\pi v \epsilon \tilde{\nu} \mu \alpha$ *in Mt 5,3* as the spirit of man (and not as "the Spirit of God" or "the Holy Spirit"): it is very *convenient*, because if we say "blessed are the poor in spirit", i.e. those "who are humble", "who stand in front of God empty-handed", "who do not attribute anything to themselves", "who expect everything from God", etc. – then these "poor in spirit", besides this attitude, *have actually nothing to do*; if, however, this phrase means that "blessed are those who under the inspiration of the (Holy) Spirit voluntarily and consciously undertake actual material/social poverty" – then it has *hard consequences on us*, and it *demands action* (conscious renunciation of enrichment, bearing the burden of poverty, and giving to those in greater need)...

Translated from Hungarian by Daniel Bajnok